



LiDAR & DRONES

CAPABILITIES, SENSORS, COSTS AND LIMITS

Technical brief · fire.ecopter.ai · sar.ecopter.ai · CONFIDENTIAL

What airborne laser scanning can do for wildfire suppression and search-and-rescue operations, what the current generation of drone payloads delivers, what it costs, and where it falls short. Figures reflect the market as of November 2026 and should be re-verified before procurement.

01

What a drone LiDAR actually does

A LiDAR payload is a laser rangefinder firing hundreds of thousands of pulses per second while the aircraft's GNSS/RTK receiver and inertial measurement unit (IMU) record exactly where the sensor was and which way it was pointing for every pulse. Fusing the two produces a georeferenced 3D point cloud of everything below the aircraft: terrain, vegetation, structures, wires, vehicles, people.

The important distinction from a camera is that LiDAR measures distance directly by time-of-flight, whereas photogrammetry infers it by triangulating matching pixels across overlapping photos. That one difference explains most of the capabilities below.

What it does that cameras cannot

- Sees through vegetation. Each pulse can register several “returns” — canopy top, branches, understory, and finally ground. Current sensors record up to 5 returns, which maps the bare earth under dense forest. Photogrammetry only ever sees the canopy. For forestry and fire this yields canopy height, stem density, fuel-load estimates and a true terrain model from a single flight.
- Works where there is nothing to look at. Snow, sand, bare rock, water edges, darkness. Photogrammetry needs visual texture and daylight to match images; LiDAR needs neither and flies at night.
- Resolves thin structures. Power lines, fences, tower lattice, tree limbs — objects photogrammetry smears or loses entirely. This is the single most important capability for low-level helicopter operations.
- Consistent vertical accuracy. Elevations come from time-of-flight rather than pixel matching, so vertical error is typically 3–5 cm with RTK/PPK positioning, and it does not degrade over uniform surfaces.

02

Current survey-grade payloads

The integrated payloads from DJI have become the de-facto reference because they bundle laser, RGB camera, IMU and RTK tie-in into one gimbal. The relevant specifications:

Payload	Range	Point rate / returns	Aircraft	Indicative price
DJI Zenmuse L1 (Livox)	450 m @ 80% reflectivity	480,000 pts/s · 3 returns	Matrice 300 / 350 RTK	discontinued, used market
DJI Zenmuse L2	450 m @ 50% reflectivity	240,000 pts/s · 5 returns	Matrice 300 / 350 RTK	~US$ 13–15 k
DJI Zenmuse L3	950 m @ 10% reflectivity	~1.6 kg, 64 W, dual 100 MP RGB	Matrice 400 RTK only	~US$ 17.4 k
GeoCue TrueView 680 / 680LR	long-range, survey grade	Hesai laser, dual RGB	Matrice-class	premium tier
Livox Avia (bare sensor)	450 m	240,000 pts/s · 3 returns, built-in IMU	any (DIY integration)	~US$ 1.8 k
Third-party Avia bundle (e.g. GS-100C)	450 m	adds GNSS/INS + RGB	any with 1–2 kg payload	~US$ 8.5 k

Practical implications

- Higher reflectivity-rated range means the sensor can fly higher and still get ground returns off dark fuels, so the L3-class sensors cover far more ground per battery than the L1/L2 class.
- Every current integrated payload includes an RGB camera, so one flight yields both a colorized point cloud and an orthomosaic.
- Coverage: a mid-size system on a Matrice-class aircraft maps on the order of 1–2 km² per flight at useful density. The heavy L3 payload needs a large platform and shortens flight time accordingly.
- Standard outputs: classified point clouds (LAS/LAZ), DTM and DSM, contours, volumes, canopy-height models, and change detection between repeat flights.

03

Where it is used — and why it matters here

General market: topographic surveying, earthworks volumes, corridor mapping (roads, pipelines, transmission lines), forestry, floodplain and hydrology modelling, archaeology under tree cover, mine and quarry monitoring, and post-disaster damage assessment.

Wildfire operations

- Pre-season fuel mapping. Canopy height, ladder fuels and surface fuel structure directly feed fire-behaviour models and drop planning.
- Terrain model and obstacle database. A LiDAR-derived DTM with every wire, tower and snag is exactly what an autonomous or pilot-assisted helicopter needs to build its altitude stack and obstacle-avoidance envelope over a fire. Photogrammetry cannot be trusted for wires; LiDAR can.
- Post-fire assessment. Burn severity, lost canopy, and erosion / debris-flow risk from repeat flights.
- Night operations. Because it does not depend on daylight, a LiDAR surveyor drone keeps delivering terrain and obstacle data after sunset, when the fire keeps burning and conventional aircraft stand down.

Search and rescue

- Ground under canopy. Multi-return data reveals trails, drainages, cliffs and clearings that a FLIR or RGB camera cannot see through foliage — the terrain a lost person actually moves through.
- Night and weather. Same advantage as above: terrain and landing-zone assessment in the dark or under smoke.
- Landing-zone and hoist-site selection. Slope, obstacle clearance and canopy gaps measured to centimetres, not estimated by eye.

Note that LiDAR is a terrain and obstacle sensor, not a people-finder. Detection of persons and hot spots stays with the thermal (FLIR) surveyor; LiDAR supplies the 3D world the mission planner and the aircraft fly in.

04

Cost tiers

Survey-grade integrated payloads

Zenmuse L2 on a Matrice 350, or L3 on a Matrice 400: US$ 13–17 k for the payload, another US$ 10–15 k for the aircraft and RTK base, plus processing software (DJI Terra or equivalent). Turnkey, supported, and the processing pipeline is already solved. This is the fastest route to usable data.

Mid-tier / do-it-yourself

A bare Livox Avia or Mid-360 costs roughly US$ 1.8 k and carries its own IMU; third-party integrators bundle it with a GNSS/INS board for around US$ 8.5 k. Mounting one on a Pixhawk/PX4-class airframe such as a Holybro X500 is entirely feasible for a team comfortable with ROS or PX4. The hard part is not the laser but the trajectory solution: RTK/PPK positioning plus a decent IMU and the post-processing to fuse them. A cheap IMU turns an excellent laser into a wavy, unusable cloud.

Low-end

2D LiDAR units (RPLIDAR-type) and solid-state sensors under US$ 500 are fine for indoor SLAM and obstacle avoidance. They are not mapping sensors and should not be budgeted as such.

05

Limitations to plan around

- Mass and power. 1–2 kg and 30–65 W versus a few hundred grams for a camera; expect flight times to drop noticeably.
- Surface dependence. Range degrades sharply on dark or wet surfaces; open water returns almost nothing. Smoke attenuates the beam — LiDAR will not see through a dense column the way thermal does.
- Data volume. Point clouds run to gigabytes per flight and need real processing hardware; live streaming of a full cloud to a command post is not realistic, so onboard reduction or post-flight processing must be planned.
- Positioning is everything. Without RTK/PPK and ground control the data is attractive but unreliable. Budget the base station and the workflow, not just the sensor.
- Classification. The raw cloud must be classified (ground / vegetation / structure / wire) before it is useful. That is where most of the software cost and operator time lives.
- Regulatory. A LiDAR surveyor orbiting a fire at useful altitude sits inside the temporary flight restriction; it has to be flown as part of the air-operations plan, not alongside it.

06

Recommendation

For the March 2027 drones-only demonstration, LiDAR is a supporting sensor, not the headline. The demo is carried by the FLIR surveyor and the suppressor drones; a LiDAR pass of the burn unit before the burn, flown with a rented or borrowed L2-class system, would supply the terrain and obstacle model the planner uses on the day and would show agencies the same pipeline scaled to a real fire.

For the operational system, the obstacle and altitude-stack layer should be built on LiDAR-derived data, with an integrated survey-grade payload on the surveyor platform or a dedicated mapping drone. A DIY Avia build is worth prototyping in parallel on the existing airframes to understand the trajectory and classification pipeline before committing to hardware, but it should not be the production path for a safety-critical obstacle database.

Sources: Measur “Best LiDAR Drones 2026”; GlobalDroneHQ “Zenmuse L3 vs L2” (2026); Coptrz “Zenmuse L2 vs L1”; Dynatech “Zenmuse L2 vs L3”; DJI published specifications. Prices are indicative list / marketplace figures and vary by region and bundle.